arXiv:1408.4059v1 [math.OA] 18 Aug 2014

A NEW APPROACH TO RECENT CONSTRUCTIONS OF C*-ALGEBRAS FROM MODULAR INDEX THEORY

XIN LI

Abstract. We present a new approach to C*-algebras recently constructed in the context of modular index theory by Carey, Phillips, Putnam and Rennie. It turns out that their constructions can be identified with full corners of ideals in semigroup C*-algebras. This new point of view leads to a systematic analysis of these algebras and their canonical maximal abelian subalgebras.

1. Introduction

This paper is about two families of C*-algebras recently constructed in the context of modular index theory [5]. It turns out that these new constructions can be described - in a very concrete and natural way - as certain ideals in semigroup C*-algebras. This leads to a new approach to the C*-algebras from [5] based on recent advances in the study of semigroup C*-algebras [7, 8, 13, 14]. On the one hand, we are able to explain and simplify structural results from [5]. We provide a better understanding for the results in [5] by relating them to existing ones and by giving conceptual proofs. On the other hand, our approach via semigroup C*-algebras allows us to considerably generalise and strengthen several results from [5], for instance concerning C*-algebraic structure, or K-theory. Moreover, the C*-algebras constructed in [5] come with canonical maximal abelian subalgebras, which are Cartan subalgebras in the sense of [17]. We show that there are cases where two C*-algebras (from the families constructed in [5]) are abstractly isomorphic, but not isomorphic as Cartan pairs, i.e., it is impossible to find an isomorphism preserving the canonical Cartan subalgebras.

Let us provide more detailed explanations and formulate our main theorems. First of all, the two classes of C*-algebras constructed in [5] are denoted by F^λ and $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$, where the parameter λ is a positive real number. The C*-algebras F^λ and $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ are defined as full corners in crossed products, i.e., they are of the form $F^\lambda := e(C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \Gamma_\lambda)e$ and $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda := e(C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes G_\lambda)e$. The group Γ_λ is given by $\Gamma_\lambda := (\mathbb{Z}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}], +)$, i.e., we take the additive group of the subring $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]$ of $\mathbb{R}$ generated by λ and λ^{-1}. By construction, λ is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]$, so we may form the semidirect product $G_\lambda := \Gamma_\lambda \rtimes \langle\lambda\rangle$ with respect to the multiplicative action of $\langle\lambda\rangle := \{\lambda^i \colon i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ on Γ_λ. Moreover, $C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ is the sub-C*-algebra of $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ generated by all characteristic functions on intervals of the form $[a, b)$ for $a, b \in \Gamma_\lambda$, $a < b$. The obvious actions of Γ_λ by additive translations and of G_λ by affine transformations give rise to our crossed products. Finally, $e \in C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ is the characteristic function on $[0, 1)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L05, Secondary 46L80.

The main motivation to introduce and study these C*-algebras F^λ and $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ came from modular index theory. In [5], an analytic pairing between modular spectral triples and modular K_1 is studied in the case of $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$. We refer the reader to [5] for more details.

Let us form the semigroups $\Gamma_\lambda^+ := \Gamma_\lambda \cap [0,\infty)$ and $P_\lambda := \Gamma_\lambda^+ \rtimes \langle\lambda\rangle$. The corresponding semigroup C*-algebras $C^*(\Gamma_\lambda^+)$ and $C^*(P_\lambda)$ are generated by isometries representing semigroup elements. By sending these isometries to their unitary counterparts in the corresponding group C*-algebras, we obtain canonical quotient homomorphisms $C^*(\Gamma_\lambda^+) \twoheadrightarrow C^*(\Gamma_\lambda)$ and $C^*(P_\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow C^*(G_\lambda)$. Let $I(\Gamma_\lambda^+)$ and $I(P_\lambda))$ be the kernels, i.e., we have short exact sequences $0 \to I(\Gamma_\lambda^+) \to C^*(\Gamma_\lambda^+) \to C^*(\Gamma_\lambda) \to 0$ and $0 \to I(P_\lambda) \to C^*(P_\lambda) \to C^*(G_\lambda) \to 0$. Here is the connection between F^λ, $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ and semigroup C*-algebras:

Theorem 1.1. *F^λ is canonically isomorphic to a full corner of $I(\Gamma_\lambda^+)$, and $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ is canonically isomorphic to a full corner of $I(P_\lambda)$.*

We have the following structural results for F^λ and $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$:

Theorem 1.2. *For every $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, F^λ is a unital, simple, nuclear, separable C*-algebra with a unique tracial state τ. F^λ satisfies the UCT. The K-theory of F^λ is given by*

$$(K_0(F^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(F^\lambda)) \cong (K_1(C^*(\Gamma_\lambda)), [U_1]_1, K_0(C^*(\Gamma_\lambda)/\mathbb{Z}[1]_0).$$

Here U_1 is the canonical unitary representing $1 \in \Gamma_\lambda$ in $C^*(\Gamma_\lambda)$. Moreover, the unique trace τ on F^λ satisfies $\tau(K_0(F^\lambda)) = \Gamma_\lambda$.

In particular, $F^\lambda \cong F^\mu$ implies $\Gamma_\lambda = \Gamma_\mu$.

When we write $\Gamma_\lambda = \Gamma_\mu$, we mean equality as subgroups of $\mathbb{R}$.

The structural properties of F^λ and the K-theory computation also appear in [5]. However, the position of the unit in K_0 and the image of the trace have not been determined in [5]. Actually, we will prove this theorem for arbitrary countable dense subgroups of $\mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 1.3. *For every $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ is a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra. Its K-theory is given by*

$$(K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)) \cong (K_1(C^*(\Gamma_\lambda))/\mathbb{Z}[U_{(0,\lambda)}]_1, [U_{(1,1)}]_1^\bullet, K_0(C^*(\Gamma_\lambda)/\mathbb{Z}[1]_0).$$

Here we write elements of G_λ in the form (a,λ^i), with $a \in \Gamma_\lambda$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. By $[U_{(1,1)}]_1^\bullet$, we mean the class of $[U_{(1,1)}]_1$ in the quotient $K_1(C^*(\Gamma_\lambda))/\mathbb{Z}[U_{(0,\lambda)}]_1$.

The observation that $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ is a UCT Kirchberg algebra also appears in [5], but our general K-theoretic formula is new.

If λ is an algebraic integer, we can make our K-theory computations more precise.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$ be an algebraic integer. Then*

$$(K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)) \cong \left(\Gamma_\lambda/(1-\lambda)\Gamma_\lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} H_{2j+1}(G_\lambda), (1,0), \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} H_{2j}(G_\lambda)\right).$$

Here $H_k(G_\lambda)$ denotes the k-th group homology of G_λ. Moreover, 1 stands for the class of $1 \in \Gamma_\lambda$ in $\Gamma_\lambda/(1-\lambda)\Gamma_\lambda$.

Since group homology can be computed explicitly (as we will explain), this theorem really allows for concrete K-theoretic computations for $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$. In [5], such computations (without the position of the unit in K_0) have only been carried out for particular values of λ, under the assumption that both λ and λ^{-1} are algebraic integers.

Now let us turn to Cartan pairs. The canonical Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ mentioned at the beginning of the introduction is given by $\mathcal{D}^\lambda := eC_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})e \subseteq e(C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes G_\lambda)e = \mathcal{Q}^\lambda$.

Theorem 1.5. *For all $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$, in the sense of* [17]*. Moreover, let λ and μ be two algebraic integers with $\lambda \neq 1 \neq \mu$. If $(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{D}^\lambda) \cong (\mathcal{Q}^\mu, \mathcal{D}^\mu)$, then $\Gamma_\lambda/(1-\lambda)\Gamma_\lambda \cong \Gamma_\mu/(1-\mu)\Gamma_\mu$ and $H_i(G_\lambda) \cong H_i(G_\mu)$ for all $i \geq 2$.*

An isomorphism $(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{D}^\lambda) \cong (\mathcal{Q}^\mu, \mathcal{D}^\mu)$ means an isomorphism of Cartan pairs, i.e., an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{Q}^\lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{Q}^\mu$ with $\Phi(\mathcal{D}^\lambda) = \mathcal{D}^\mu$. We refer the reader to [17] for more information about Cartan subalgebras.

We mention that it is possible to formulate and prove similar results for F^λ as well.

It turns out that we can indeed find algebraic integers $\lambda \neq 1 \neq \mu$ such that

$$(K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)) \cong (K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\mu), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\mu)),$$

but the condition

$$\Gamma_\lambda/(1-\lambda)\Gamma_\lambda \cong \Gamma_\mu/(1-\mu)\Gamma_\mu \text{ and } H_i(\Gamma_\lambda) \cong H_i(\Gamma_\mu) \text{ for all } i \geq 2$$

does not hold. Hence, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5, together with the Kirchberg-Phillips classification theorem [19, Chapter 8], imply that $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda \cong \mathcal{Q}^\mu$ but $(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{D}^\lambda) \ncong (\mathcal{Q}^\mu, \mathcal{D}^\mu)$. For instance, we show that this is the case when the minimal polynomial of λ is $T^2 - 3T + 1$ and the minimal polynomial of μ is $T^3 + T^2 - 1$.

The present paper is structured as follows:

First, we explain the original construction of F^λ and $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$. We also build the bridge to semigroup C*-algebras (Theorem 1.1), and to groupoid C*-algebras. Furthermore, we prove some first structural properties for F^λ and $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ (Section 2).

Secondly, we study F^λ in more detail and prove Theorem 1.2 (Section 3).

Thirdly, we turn to $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ and prove Theorem 1.3.

Next, we discuss the case where λ is an algebraic integer. We prove Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 in Section 5.1 and present explicit computations in Section 5.2.

Finally, we close with a list of open questions which arise naturally (Section 6).

2. Original constructions and new descriptions

2.1. **Original constructions.** We briefly recall the construction in [5]. Let λ be a positive real number. Let $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda,\lambda^{-1}]$ be the subring of $\mathbb{R}$ generated by λ and λ^{-1}. We denote by Γ_λ the additive group $(\mathbb{Z}[\lambda,\lambda^{-1}],+)$. The original condition $0<\lambda<1$ in [5] is not necessary, at least for the construction of our C*-algebras. But we keep in mind that $\Gamma_\lambda=\Gamma_{\lambda^{-1}}$. Let $C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ be the sub-C*-algebra $C^*(\{1_{[a,b)}\colon a,b\in\Gamma_\lambda, a<b\})$ of $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Here $1_{[a,b)}$ is the characteristic function on $[a,b)$. Γ_λ acts on $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ by additive translations, and it is easy to see that $C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ is Γ_λ-invariant. Denoting the Γ_λ-action on $C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ by $a.f$ for $a\in\Gamma_\lambda$ and $f\in C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$, we have $(a.f)(x)=f(-a+x)$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$. Let $A_0^\lambda:=C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})\rtimes\Gamma_\lambda$ be the corresponding crossed product.

Next, let us form the semidirect product $G_\lambda:=\Gamma_\lambda\rtimes\langle\lambda\rangle$ with respect to the multiplicative action of $\langle\lambda\rangle:=\{\lambda^i\colon i\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ on Γ_λ. Elements of G_λ are of the form (a,λ^i) for $a\in\Gamma_\lambda$, $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, and $(a,\lambda^i)(b,\lambda^j)=(a+\lambda^i b,\lambda^{i+j})$. G_λ acts on $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ by affine transformations, and $C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ is G_λ-invariant. Denoting the G_λ-action on $C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ by $(a,\lambda^i).f$ for $(a,\lambda^i)\in G_\lambda$ and $f\in C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$, we have $((a,\lambda^i).f)(x)=f(\lambda^{-i}(-a+x))$. Let $A^\lambda:=C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})\rtimes G_\lambda$ be the corresponding crossed product.

As the next step, let $e:=1_{[0,1)}$. As $0,1\in\Gamma_\lambda$, we know that $e\in C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$, and we can form the corners $F^\lambda:=eA_0^\lambda e$, $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda:=eA^\lambda e$. These are the C*-algebras of main interest in this paper. We will focus on their structural properties, and we will also have a look at them from the point of view of Cartan pairs. Apart from that, these C*-algebras are also interesting from the perspective of modular index theory (see [5]).

2.2. **Description as full corners in ideals of semigroup C*-algebras.** Let us fix a parameter $\lambda\in(0,\infty)$. Set $\Gamma_\lambda^+:=\Gamma_\lambda\cap[0,\infty)$ and $P_\lambda:=\Gamma_\lambda^+\rtimes\langle\lambda\rangle$. Γ_λ^+ and P_λ are subsemigroups of Γ_λ and G_λ. For simplicity, we drop the index λ and write Γ^+ for Γ_λ^+, Γ for Γ_λ, P for P_λ and G for G_λ.

The semigroup C*-algebra of Γ^+ is given by $C^*(\Gamma^+)=C^*(\{V_a\colon a\in\Gamma^+\})\subseteq\mathcal{L}(\ell^2\Gamma^+)$, where $V_a:\ \ell^2\Gamma^+\to\ell^2\Gamma^+$, $\delta_x\mapsto\delta_{a+x}$. Here $\{\delta_x\colon x\in\Gamma^+\}$ is the canonical orthonormal basis of $\ell^2\Gamma^+$. Similarly, the semigroup C*-algebra of P is $C^*(P)=C^*(\{V_p\colon p\in P\})\subseteq\mathcal{L}(\ell^2 P)$. Note that we write C^* instead of C^*_λ because in our cases, full and reduced versions coincide. As Γ^+ is abelian, hence (left) amenable, there exists a homomorphism $C^*(\Gamma^+)\to C^*(\Gamma)$ sending V_a to U_a. Here U_a is the canonical unitary in $C^*(\Gamma)$ representing a. If we call the kernel of this homomorphism $I(\Gamma^+)$, then we get a short exact sequence

$$0\to I(\Gamma^+)\to C^*(\Gamma^+)\to C^*(\Gamma)\to 0. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, let $D(\Gamma^+):=C^*(\{1_{a+\Gamma^+}\colon a\in\Gamma^+\})$ be the canonical commutative sub-C*-algebra of $C^*(\Gamma^+)$. Here $1_{a+\Gamma^+}$ is the characteristic function of $a+\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma^+$, viewed as an element in

$\ell^\infty(\Gamma^+) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\ell^2\Gamma^+)$. It is easy to see that $D(\Gamma^+) \to \mathbb{C}$, $1_{a+\Gamma^+} \mapsto 1$ defines a homomorphism. Denoting its kernel by $J(\Gamma^+)$, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \to J(\Gamma^+) \to D(\Gamma^+) \to \mathbb{C} \to 0. \tag{2}$$

Γ^+ is abelian, hence left Ore, and its enveloping group is Γ. So $\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma$ is Toeplitz, in the sense of [14, Definition 4.1]. As in [14, Definition 3.4], we form $D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} := C^*(\{1_{a+\Gamma^+}\colon\ a \in \Gamma\}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\ell^2\Gamma)$. Γ acts on $D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ via $\alpha_a(1_{x+\Gamma^+}) = 1_{a+x+\Gamma^+}$. [14, Lemma 3.9] implies that $C^*(\Gamma^+) = 1_{\Gamma^+}(D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)1_{\Gamma^+}$. Moreover, the short exact sequences (2) and (1) induce short exact sequences (see [14, § 7.1])

$$0 \to J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \to D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \to \mathbb{C} \to 0, \tag{3}$$

$$0 \to J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma \to D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma \to C^*(\Gamma) \to 0. \tag{4}$$

It is easy to see that $J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} = C^*(\{1_{a+\Gamma^+} - 1_{b+\Gamma^+}\colon\ a, b \in \Gamma,\ a < b\})$ (compare [14, Definition 7.6]). [14, Proposition 7.9] tells us that $I(\Gamma^+) = 1_{\Gamma^+}(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)1_{\Gamma^+}$.

In complete analogy, we obtain the following short exact sequences for $P \subseteq G$:

$$0 \to I(P) \to C^*(P) \to C^*(G) \to 0, \tag{5}$$

$$0 \to J(P) \to D(P) \to \mathbb{C} \to 0, \tag{6}$$

$$0 \to J_{P\subseteq G} \to D_{P\subseteq G} \to \mathbb{C} \to 0, \tag{7}$$

$$0 \to J_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G \to D_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G \to C^*(G) \to 0. \tag{8}$$

Again, it is easy to see that $J_{P\subseteq G} = C^*(\{1_{(a,1)P} - 1_{(b,1)P}\colon\ a, b \in \Gamma,\ a < b\})$. Since $(a, \lambda^i)P = (a, 1)P$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to consider $(a, 1)P$. Furthermore, $C^*(P) = 1_P(D_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G)1_P$ and $I(P) = 1_P(J_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G)1_P$.

To build the bridge between F^λ, $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ and semigroup C*-algebras, we need the following

Lemma 2.1. *Let I be a totally ordered set, A a C*-algebra generated by projections e_i, $i \in I$. Assume that $e_i \leq e_j$ if $i \leq j$. Then whenever B is a C*-algebra generated by projections f_i, $i \in I$, such that $f_i \leq f_j$ for $i \leq j$, there exists a unique homomorphism $A \to B$ sending e_i to f_i for all $i \in I$.*

Proof. This is a special case of [14, Proposition 2.4]. The reason is that $\{e_i\colon\ i \in I\}$ is obviously a multiplicative semigroup which is independent in the sense of [14, Definition 2.3]. □

Consider now $C^\lambda(\mathbb{R}) := C^*(1_{[a,\infty)}a \in \Gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Obviously, $C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq C^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$. Γ and G act on $C^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ by additive translations and affine transformations, respectively.

Corollary 2.2. *There exists a Γ-equivariant isomorphism $D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\cong} C^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ sending $1_{a+\Gamma^+}$ to $1_{[a,\infty)}$ for all $a \in \Gamma$. Also, there exists a G-equivariant isomorphism $D_{P\subseteq G} \xrightarrow{\cong} C^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ sending $1_{(a,1)P}$ to $1_{[a,\infty)}$ for all $a \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1. □

Corollary 2.3. *There is a Γ-equivariant isomorphism $J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ sending $1_{a+\Gamma^+} - 1_{b+\Gamma^+}$ to $1_{[a,b)}$ for all $a, b \in \Gamma$, $a < b$. Moreover, $1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{1+\Gamma^+}$ is a full projection in $I(\Gamma^+)$ and in $J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$, $J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma \cong C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \Gamma$, and $(1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{1+\Gamma^+})I(\Gamma^+)(1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{1+\Gamma^+}) = (1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{1+\Gamma^+})(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)(1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{1+\Gamma^+}) \cong F^\lambda$.*

There is also a G-equivariant isomorphism $J_{P\subseteq G} \xrightarrow{\cong} C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ sending $1_{(a,1)P} - 1_{(b,1)P}$ to $1_{[a,b)}$ for all $a, b \in \Gamma$, $a < b$. Moreover, $1_P - 1_{(1,1)P}$ is a full projection in $I(P)$ and in $J_{P\subseteq G}$, $J_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G \cong C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes G$, and $(1_P - 1_{(1,1)P})I(P)(1_P - 1_{(1,1)P}) = (1_P - 1_{(1,1)P})(J_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G)(1_P - 1_{(1,1)P}) \cong \mathcal{Q}^\lambda$.

Proof. Just note that the isomorphisms $D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \cong C^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ and $D_{P\subseteq G} \cong C^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ send $J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ to $C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$, $1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{1+\Gamma^+}$ to $e = 1_{[0,1)}$, $J_{P\subseteq G}$ to $C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$, and $1_P - 1_{(1,1)P}$ to e. Moreover, it is easy to see that $1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{1+\Gamma^+}$ and $1_P - 1_{(1,1)P}$ are full projections in the corresponding C*-algebras (see also Lemma 2.5 and Remark 2.6). □

This proves Theorem 1.1.

Remark 2.4. Γ could be any subgroup of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$, not necessarily of the form Γ_λ, and all our constructions make sense, and analogous results as above hold. In particular, $1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{a+\Gamma^+}$ is a full projection in the corresponding C*-algebras whenever $a \in \Gamma$ satisfies $a > 0$.

2.3. **Description as groupoid C*-algebras.** We describe all the C*-algebras from the previous section, in particular F^λ and $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$, as groupoid C*-algebras. These descriptions follow from general considerations in [14, § 5.4, § 5.5, § 7.1], and we refer the reader to [14] for details.

Let us consider the slightly more general situation of an arbitrary subgroup Γ of $(\mathbb{R}, +)$. Set $\Gamma^+ := \Gamma \cap [0, \infty)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that Γ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$, since otherwise (Γ, Γ^+) is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N})$, and this case is well-understood. Let $\Omega(\Gamma^+) := \mathrm{Spec}\,(D(\Gamma^+))$ and $\Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} := \mathrm{Spec}\,(D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma})$. We have $\Omega(\Gamma^+) \subseteq \Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$. Moreover, let χ_∞ be the character on $D(\Gamma^+)$ satisfying $\chi_\infty(1_{a+\Gamma^+}) = 1$ for all $a \in \Gamma^+$. Set $O(\Gamma^+) := \mathrm{Spec}\,(D(\Gamma^+)) \setminus \{\chi_\infty\}$ and $O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \setminus \{\chi_\infty\}$. The canonical identifications $D(\Gamma^+) \cong C(\Omega(\Gamma^+))$ and $D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \cong C_0(\Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma})$ restrict to isomorphisms $J(\Gamma^+) \cong C_0(O(\Gamma^+))$ and $J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \cong C_0(O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma})$. These isomorphisms induce isomorphisms

$$D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma \cong C^*(\Gamma \ltimes \Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}), \qquad C^*(\Gamma^+) \cong C^*(\Gamma \ltimes \Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}|_{\Omega(\Gamma^+)}^{\Omega(\Gamma^+)}),$$
$$J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma \cong C^*(\Gamma \ltimes O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}), \qquad I(\Gamma^+) \cong C^*(\Gamma \ltimes O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}|_{O(\Gamma^+)}^{O(\Gamma^+)}),$$

where $\Gamma \ltimes \Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ and $\Gamma \ltimes O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ are the transformation groupoids attached to $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$, the dual actions of $\Gamma \curvearrowright D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$. Moreover, it is easy to check that $\Omega(\Gamma^+)$ is a $\Gamma \ltimes \Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$-full compact open subset of $\Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$, meaning that $\Omega(\Gamma^+)$ meets every Γ-orbit in $\Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$. Similarly, $O(\Gamma^+)$ is a $\Gamma \ltimes O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$-full clopen subset of $O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$. Now choose $a \in \Gamma$ with $a > 0$. Then $N(\Gamma^+) := \{\chi \in \Omega(\Gamma^+)\colon \chi(1_{a+\Gamma^+}) = 0\}$ is a compact open subset of $\Omega(\Gamma^+)$ with $1_{N(\Gamma^+)} = 1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{a+\Gamma^+}$.

Lemma 2.5. *$N(\Gamma^+)$ is $\Gamma \ltimes O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$-full in $O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$.*

Proof. Take $\chi \in O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$. Since $\chi \neq \chi_\infty$, there exists $a \in \Gamma$ such that $\chi(1_{a+\Gamma^+}) = 0$. There also exists $b \in \Gamma$ such that $\chi(1_{b+\Gamma^+}) = 1$. Moreover, for all $b, c \in \Gamma$ with $b \geq c$, $\chi(1_{b+\Gamma^+}) = 1$ implies $\chi(1_{c+\Gamma^+}) = 1$. Therefore, $\{a \in \Gamma\colon \chi(1_{a+\Gamma^+}) = 0\}$ is bounded below (by b). Let $\tau := \inf\{a \in \Gamma\colon \chi(1_{a+\Gamma^+}) = 0\}$. Since Γ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$, there exists $b \in \Gamma$ with $\tau \in (b, a+b]$. Hence $((-b).\chi)(1_{\Gamma^+}) = \chi(1_{b+\Gamma^+}) = 1$ and $((-b).\chi)(1_{a+\Gamma^+}) = \chi(1_{a+b+\Gamma^+}) = 0$. Therefore, $(-b).\chi \in N(\Gamma^+)$. □

Remark 2.6. Lemma 2.5 implies that $1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{a+\Gamma^+}$ is a full projection in $J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma$.

Obviously, with $E := 1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{a+\Gamma^+}$, we have $E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)E \cong C^*(\Gamma \ltimes O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}|^{N(\Gamma^+)}_{N(\Gamma^+)})$. In particular, for $\Gamma = \Gamma_\lambda$ and $a = 1$, we obtain $N(\Gamma^+_\lambda) = \left\{\chi \in \Omega(\Gamma^+_\lambda)\colon \chi(1_{1+\Gamma^+_\lambda}) = 0\right\}$ and

$$F^\lambda \cong C^*(\Gamma_\lambda \ltimes O_{\Gamma^+_\lambda\subseteq\Gamma_\lambda}|^{N(\Gamma^+_\lambda)}_{N(\Gamma^+_\lambda)}).$$

Completely analogous descriptions exist in the case of $P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda$ instead of $\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma$. As in the previous section, we fix $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$ and write P for P_λ, G for G_λ. Let $\Omega(P) := \mathrm{Spec}\,(D(P))$ and $\Omega_{P\subseteq G} := \mathrm{Spec}\,(D_{P\subseteq G})$. Denote by χ_∞ the character on $D(P)$ satisfying $\chi_\infty(1_{pP}) = 1$ for all $p \in P$. Set $O(P) := \Omega(P) \setminus \{\chi_\infty\}$ and $O_{P\subseteq G} := \Omega_{P\subseteq G} \setminus \{\chi_\infty\}$. Also, let $N(P) := \left\{\chi \in \Omega(P)\colon \chi(1_{(1,1)P}) = 1\right\}$. As before, $\Omega(P)$ is a $G \ltimes \Omega_{P\subseteq G}$-full compact open subset of $\Omega_{P\subseteq G}$, $O(P)$ is a $G \ltimes O_{P\subseteq G}$-full clopen subset of $O_{P\subseteq G}$, and $N(P)$ is a $G \ltimes O_{P\subseteq G}$-full compact open subset of $O_{P\subseteq G}$. Furthermore, we have canonical identifications

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G \cong C^*(G \ltimes \Omega_{P\subseteq G}), \qquad C^*(P) \cong C^*(G \ltimes \Omega_{P\subseteq G}|^{\Omega(P)}_{\Omega(P)}),\\ &J_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G \cong C^*(G \ltimes O_{P\subseteq G}), \qquad I(P) \cong C^*(G \ltimes O_{P\subseteq G}|^{O(P)}_{O(P)}),\\ \text{and}\quad &\mathcal{Q}^\lambda \cong C^*(G \ltimes O_{P\subseteq G}|^{N(P)}_{N(P)}). \end{aligned}$$

2.4. **Structural properties.** With the help of the groupoid description from the previous section, it is easy to derive structural properties for $E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)E$, in particular F^λ, and $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$.

Lemma 2.7. *For every dense subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{R}$, $E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)E$ is nuclear and satisfies the UCT. Hence for every $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, F^λ is nuclear and satisfies the UCT.*

For all $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ is nuclear and satisfies the UCT.

Proof. We have seen that both $E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)E$ and $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ are isomorphic to groupoid C*-algebras. The underlying groupoids are amenable since Γ and G_λ are amenable groups. Our lemma follows from [1] and [20]. □

Lemma 2.8. *For every dense subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{R}$, the actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright \Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ are topologically free.*

Proof. Since $O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ is dense in $\Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$, it suffices to show that $\Gamma \curvearrowright O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ is topologically free. First of all, it follows from [14, § 2.3] that basic open sets in $O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ are of the form $U_{a,b} = \{\chi \in \Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\colon\ \chi(1_{a+\Gamma^+}) = 1,\ \chi(1_{b+\Gamma^+}) = 0\}$ for $a, b \in \Gamma$, $a < b$. For $x \in \Gamma$, let $\chi_x \in O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ be the character determined by

$$\chi_x(1_{y+\Gamma^+}) = \begin{cases} 1 & if\ x+\Gamma^+ \subseteq y+\Gamma^+ \Leftrightarrow x \geq y, \\ 0 & else. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $\chi_a \in U_{a,b}$, so that $\{\chi_a\colon\ a \in \Gamma\}$ is dense in $O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$. But Γ acts freely on $\{\chi_a\colon\ a \in \Gamma\}$. Therefore, $\Gamma \curvearrowright O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ is topologically free. □

Lemma 2.9. *For every $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, $G_\lambda \curvearrowright \Omega_{P_\lambda\subseteq G_\lambda}$ and $G_\lambda \curvearrowright O_{P_\lambda\subseteq G_\lambda}$ are topologically free.*

Proof. As before, we fix $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, and write Γ for Γ_λ, P for P_λ and G for G_λ. As for the previous lemma, it suffices to show that $G_\lambda \curvearrowright O_{P_\lambda\subseteq G_\lambda}$ is topologically free. By [14, § 2.3], basic open sets in $O_{P\subseteq G}$ are given by $U_{a,b} = \big\{\chi \in \Omega_{P\subseteq G}\colon\ \chi(1_{(a,1)P}) = 1,\ \chi(1_{(b,1)P}) = 0\big\}$ for $a, b \in \Gamma$, $a < b$. Again, for $x \in \Gamma$, let $\chi_x \in O_{P\subseteq G}$ be the character determined by

$$\chi_x(1_{(y,1)P}) = \begin{cases} 1 & if\ (x,1)P \subseteq (y,1)P \Leftrightarrow x \geq y, \\ 0 & else. \end{cases}$$

Now let $(c,\lambda^i) \in G$. If $(c,\lambda^i).\chi = \chi$, then $c + \lambda^i x = x$, and this implies $(1-\lambda^i)x = c$. If (c,λ^i) is not the identity element, i.e., $(c,\lambda^i) \neq (0,1)$, then we see that (c,λ^i) fixes at most one character in $\{\chi_x\colon\ x \in \Gamma\}$. At the same time, for all $a, b \in \Gamma$ with $a < b$, there exist infinitely many $x \in \Gamma$ such that $\chi_x \in U_{a,b}$. Namely, since $\lambda \neq 1$, Γ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$, so that there exist infinitely many $x \in \Gamma$ with $a < x < b$, and for every such x, we have $\chi_x \in U_{a,b}$. All in all, we deduce that for all $(0,1) \neq (c,\lambda^i) \in G$ and for all $a, b \in \Gamma$ with $a < b$, there exists $x \in \Gamma$ with $\chi_x \in U_{a,b}$ and $(c,\lambda^i).\chi \neq \chi$. □

Corollary 2.10. *For every dense subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{R}$, the groupoids*

$$\Gamma \ltimes \Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\big|_{\Omega(\Gamma^+)}^{\Omega(\Gamma^+)},\ \Gamma \ltimes O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\big|_{O(\Gamma^+)}^{O(\Gamma^+)} \text{ and } \Gamma \ltimes O_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\big|_{N(\Gamma^+)}^{N(\Gamma^+)}$$

are topologically principal, in the sense of [17].

For every $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, the groupoids

$$G_\lambda \ltimes \Omega_{P_\lambda\subseteq G_\lambda}\big|_{\Omega(P_\lambda)}^{\Omega(P_\lambda)},\ G_\lambda \ltimes O_{P_\lambda\subseteq G_\lambda}\big|_{O(P_\lambda)}^{O(P_\lambda)} \text{ and } G_\lambda \ltimes O_{P_\lambda\subseteq G_\lambda}\big|_{N(P_\lambda)}^{N(P_\lambda)}$$

are topologically principal.

Proof. This follows from the general (and obvious) observation that restrictions of topologically principal groupoids to open subsets of their unit spaces are again topologically principal. □

Let $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$ be the commutative sub-C*-algebra $eC_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})e$ of $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$.

Corollary 2.11. *For every dense subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{R}$, $(C^*(\Gamma^+), D(\Gamma^+))$, $(I(\Gamma^+), J(\Gamma^+))$ and $(E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma)E, E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma})E)$ are Cartan pairs (in the sense of* [17, Definition 5.1]*). Therefore, for all $\lambda\in(0,\infty)$, $\lambda\neq 1$, $(F^\lambda, eC_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})e)$ is a Cartan pair.*

For all $\lambda\in(0,\infty)$, $\lambda\neq 1$, $(C^*(P_\lambda), D(P_\lambda))$, $(I(P_\lambda), J(P_\lambda))$ and

$$(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{D}^\lambda) \cong ((1_{P_\lambda}-1_{(1,1)P_\lambda})(J_{P_\lambda\subseteq G_\lambda}\rtimes\Gamma)(1_{P_\lambda}-1_{(1,1)P_\lambda}), (1_{P_\lambda}-1_{(1,1)P_\lambda})(J_{P_\lambda\subseteq G_\lambda})(1_{P_\lambda}-1_{(1,1)P_\lambda}))$$

are Cartan pairs.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.10 and [17, Theorem 5.2]. □

Lemma 2.12. *Let Γ be a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$. If I is a nonzero ideal of $C^*(\Gamma^+)$, then there exists $0\neq a\in\Gamma^+$ with $1_{\Gamma^+}-1_{a+\Gamma^+}\in I$.*

Let $\lambda\in(0,\infty)$, $\lambda\neq 1$. If I is a nonzero ideal of $C^*(P_\lambda)$, then there exists $0\neq a\in\Gamma^+$ with $1_{P_\lambda}-1_{(a,1)P_\lambda}\in I$.

Proof. We give the proof for $C^*(\Gamma^+)$, the one for $C^*(P_\lambda)$ is completely analogous. First of all, since $\Gamma\curvearrowright\Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ is topologically free, [2] tells us that every nonzero ideal of $D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma$ has nonzero intersection with $D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\cong C_0(\Omega_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma})$. Since $C^*(\Gamma^+)$ is (isomorphic to) the full corner $1_{\Gamma^+}(D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma)1_{\Gamma^+}$, and we know that $D(\Gamma^+)=1_{\Gamma^+}D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}1_{\Gamma^+}$, $C^*(\Gamma^+)$ also has the property that every nonzero ideal intersects $D(\Gamma^+)$ non-trivially. But by [13, § 2.6], every nonzero ideal of $D(\Gamma^+)$ must contain an element of the form $1_{\Gamma^+}-1_{a+\Gamma^+}\in I$ for some $0\neq a\in\Gamma^+$. □

Lemma 2.13. *For every dense subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{R}$, $I(\Gamma^+)$ is simple. Also, for all $\lambda\in(0,\infty)$, $\lambda\neq 1$, $I(P_\lambda)$ is simple.*

Proof. Again, we treat the case of $I(\Gamma^+)$, the proof for $I(P_\lambda)$ is completely analogous. Let $(0)\neq I\lhd I(\Gamma^+)$ be a nonzero ideal of $I(\Gamma^+)$. As I is also a nonzero ideal of $C^*(\Gamma^+)$, the previous lemma tells us that there exists $0\neq a\in\Gamma^+$ with $1_{\Gamma^+}-1_{a+\Gamma^+}\in I$. Let $b\in\Gamma^+$ be arbitrary, and choose $n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $b\leq na$. Then $1_{b+\Gamma^+}\geq 1_{na+\Gamma^+}$, so we conclude that

$$1_{\Gamma^+}-1_{b+\Gamma^+}\leq 1_{\Gamma^+}-1_{na+\Gamma^+}=\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}1_{ma+\Gamma^+}-1_{(m+1)a+\Gamma^+}=\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}V_{ma}(1_{\Gamma^+}-1_{a+\Gamma^+})V_{ma}^*\in I.$$

Thus $1_{\Gamma^+}-1_{b+\Gamma^+}\in I$. But $b\in\Gamma^+$ was arbitrary, and $\{1_{\Gamma^+}-1_{b+\Gamma^+}\colon b\in\Gamma^+\}$ generates $I(\Gamma^+)$. Hence we must have $I=I(\Gamma^+)$. □

Remark 2.14. For Γ^+, this observation appears in [16].

Since simplicity is preserved under Morita equivalence, we obtain

Corollary 2.15. *For every dense subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{R}$, $J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma$ and $E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma)E$ are simple. Hence for every $\lambda\in(0,\infty)$, $\lambda\neq 1$, F^λ is simple.*

Moreover, for every $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, $J_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda} \rtimes G_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda \cong (1_{P_\lambda} - 1_{(1,1)P_\lambda})(J_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda} \rtimes \Gamma)(1_{P_\lambda} - 1_{(1,1)P_\lambda})$ are simple.

3. First family of C*-algebras

In this section, let Γ be a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, take $a \in \Gamma$ with $a > 0$ and write E for $1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{a+\Gamma^+}$.

Proposition 3.1. *$E(J_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)E$ has a unique tracial state τ given by*

$$\tau((1_{b+\Gamma^+} - 1_{c+\Gamma^+})U_\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & if\ \gamma \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{a}(c-b) & if\ \gamma = 0, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

for all $b, c \in \Gamma^+$, $b < c$ and for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

In particular, for every $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, F^λ has a unique tracial state.

Proof. We first construct a tracial state with the desired properties. First of all, the C*-algebra $C^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ (see § 2.2) is obviously contained in the C*-algebra of bounded Borel functions on $\mathbb{R}$. Under the isomorphism $J_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma} \cong C^\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ in Corollary 2.3, $E = 1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{a+\Gamma^+}$ is mapped to $1_{[0,a)}$, so that $EJ_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma}E$ is identified with a sub-C*-algebra of bounded Borel functions on $[0,a)$. Hence Lebesgue measure, scaled by $\frac{1}{a}$, gives rise to a (tracial) state μ on $EJ_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma}E$. Furthermore, let $\theta : J_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma \to J_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma}$ be the canonical faithful conditional expectation. Set $\tau := \mu \circ (\theta|_{E(J_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)E})$. It is clear that τ is a state, and τ obviously satisfies (9). An easy computation using (9) shows that τ has the trace property.

We now prove uniqueness. Let τ' be another tracial state on $E(J_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)E$. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be nonzero. For $b, c \in \Gamma^+$, $b < c$, let b_i $(i = 0, \ldots, n+1)$ be elements of Γ^+ with $b = b_0 < b_1 < \ldots < b_n < b_{n+1} = c$ such that $b_{i+1} - b_i < |\gamma|$. (Here we use that Γ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$.) Then $\tau'((1_{b+\Gamma^+} - 1_{c+\Gamma^+})U_\gamma) = \sum_{i=0}^n \tau'((1_{b_i+\Gamma^+} - 1_{b_{i+1}+\Gamma^+})U_\gamma)$. Now $[b_i, b_{i+1}) \cap [\gamma + b_i, \gamma + b_{i+1}) = \emptyset$ since $b_{i+1} - b_i < |\gamma|$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tau'((1_{b_i+\Gamma^+} - 1_{b_{i+1}+\Gamma^+})U_\gamma) &= \tau'((1_{b_i+\Gamma^+} - 1_{b_{i+1}+\Gamma^+})U_\gamma(1_{b_i+\Gamma^+} - 1_{b_{i+1}+\Gamma^+})) \\ &= \tau'((1_{b_i+\Gamma^+} - 1_{b_{i+1}+\Gamma^+})(1_{\gamma+b_i+\Gamma^+} - 1_{\gamma+b_{i+1}+\Gamma^+})U_\gamma) = \tau'(0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now let μ' be the composition $1_{[0,a)}C_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})1_{[0,a)} \cong EJ_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma}E \xrightarrow{\tau'} \mathbb{C}$. The trace property of τ' implies that $\mu'(1_{[b,c)}) = \mu'(1_{[\gamma+b,\gamma+c)})$ for all $b, c \in \Gamma^+$, $b < c \leq a$ and for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $0 \leq \gamma + b, \gamma + c \leq a$. As Γ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$, this shows that μ' is induced by a translation invariant measure on $[0,a)$. Therefore, μ' must be given by Lebesgue measure, scaled by $\frac{1}{a}$. □

We turn to K-theory.

Proposition 3.2. *For every countable subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{R}$, the boundary maps in the six term exact sequence for $0 \to J_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma \to D_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma \to C^*(\Gamma) \to 0$ (see (4)) induce isomorphisms*

$K_1(C^*(\Gamma)) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)$ *and* $K_0(C^*(\Gamma))/\mathbb{Z}[1]_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)$. *The first isomorphism sends* $[1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{a+\Gamma^+}]_0$ *to* $[U_a]_1$. *Moreover,* $\mathbb{Z}[1]_0$ *is a direct summand (isomorphic to* $\mathbb{Z}$*) in* $K_0(C^*(\Gamma))$.

Proof. It is easy to see that [8, Corollary 3.14] applies and yields in our situation that the homomorphism $\mathbb{C} \to D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma$, $z \mapsto z \cdot 1_{\Gamma^+}$ induces an isomorphism $K_*(\mathbb{C}) \cong K_*(D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)$. Moreover, $\mathbb{C} \to C^*(\Gamma)$, $z \mapsto z \cdot 1$ induces a split-injective homomorphism in K-theory because a split is provided by $C^*(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{C}$, $U_\gamma \mapsto 1$. Analysing the six term exact sequence for $0 \to J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma \to D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma \to C^*(\Gamma) \to 0$ with the help of these observations, we arrive at the desired conclusions. □

As $I(\Gamma^+)$ and $E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)E$ are full corners in $J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma$, we obtain

Corollary 3.3. *For every countable, dense subgroup* Γ *of* $\mathbb{R}$,

$$K_i(I(\Gamma^+)) \cong K_i(E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)E) \cong K_i(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma) \cong \begin{cases} K_1(C^*(\Gamma)) & if\ i = 0, \\ K_0(C^*(\Gamma))/\mathbb{Z}[1]_0 & if\ i = 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular, we have for all $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$,

$$(K_0(F^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(F^\lambda)) \cong (K_1(C^*(\Gamma_\lambda)), [U_1]_1, K_0(C^*(\Gamma_\lambda))/\mathbb{Z}[1]_0).$$

Remark 3.4. The last result has been proven (apart from the statement concerning the class of the unit) in [5] with different methods.

Let τ be the unique tracial state on $E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)E$, where $E = 1_{\Gamma^+} - 1_{a+\Gamma^+}$. Our next goal is to compute $\tau(K_0(E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)E))$. Let Γ be a countable, dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$. Then the representation

$$C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}) \to \mathcal{L}(\ell^2\Gamma),\ f \mapsto M_f, \tag{10}$$

where $M_f\delta_x = f(x)\delta_x$, is faithful. Approximating (in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$) every $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\})$ by linear combinations of $1_{[a,\infty)}$, $a \in \Gamma$, we see that the representation (10) gives rise to a Γ-equivariant embedding $C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}) \hookrightarrow D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$. This embedding restricts to a Γ-equivariant embedding $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$.

Proposition 3.5. *For every countable, dense subgroup* Γ *of* $\mathbb{R}$, $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \Gamma \hookrightarrow J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma$ *induces a* K_0*-isomorphism and an isomorphism* $K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \Gamma)/K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R})) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)$.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \Gamma & \longrightarrow & C_0(\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}) \rtimes \Gamma & \longrightarrow & C^*(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{=} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma & \longrightarrow & D_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma & \longrightarrow & C^*(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{11}$$

Since $C_0(\mathbb{R}\cup\{+\infty\})\sim_h\{0\}$ and Γ is a torsion-free group satisfying the Baum-Connes conjecture, principle (DC) from [8, § 1] implies that $K_*(C_0(\mathbb{R}\cup\{+\infty\})\rtimes\Gamma)\cong\{0\}$. Hence (11) gives in K-theory commuting squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_1(C^*(\Gamma)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_0(C_0(\mathbb{R})\rtimes\Gamma) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle =} & & \downarrow \\ K_1(C^*(\Gamma)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_0(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma) \end{array} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(C^*(\Gamma)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R})\rtimes\Gamma) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle =} & & \downarrow \\ K_0(C^*(\Gamma)) & \longrightarrow & K_1(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma) \end{array} \tag{13}$$

In (12), the bottom arrow is an isomorphism by Proposition 3.2. Hence $C_0(\mathbb{R})\rtimes\Gamma\hookrightarrow J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma$ induces a K_0-isomorphism. By Proposition 3.2, we know that the kernel of the bottom arrow in (13) is $\mathbb{Z}[1]_0$. So it remains to determine the image of $\mathbb{Z}[1]_0$ under $K_0(C^*(\Gamma))\xrightarrow{\cong}K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R})\rtimes\Gamma)$. Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C_0(\mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & C_0(\mathbb{R}\cup\{+\infty\}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C_0(\mathbb{R})\rtimes\Gamma & \longrightarrow & C_0(\mathbb{R}\cup\{+\infty\})\rtimes\Gamma & \longrightarrow & C^*(\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are the canonical inclusions. In K-theory, we obtain a commuting square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_0(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_0(C^*(\Gamma)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R})\rtimes\Gamma) \end{array}$$

This shows that the image of $\mathbb{Z}[1]_0$ under $K_0(C^*(\Gamma))\xrightarrow{\cong}K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R})\rtimes\Gamma)$ coincides with the image of $K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R}))\to K_1(C_0(\mathbb{R})\rtimes\Gamma)$. □

Proposition 3.6. *For every countable, dense subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{R}$, $\tau(K_0(E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma)E))=\frac{1}{a}\Gamma$.*

Proof. By [6], there exists a unique densely defined trace $\tau_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}$ on $J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma$ such that $\tau_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}|_{E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma)E}=\tau$. The composition $C_0(\mathbb{R})\rtimes\Gamma\hookrightarrow J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma\xrightarrow{\tau_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}}\mathbb{C}$ must be the unique densely defined trace on $C_0(\mathbb{R})\rtimes\Gamma$ induced by Lebesgue measure, scaled by $\frac{1}{a}$. Hence by [11, Lemma 2.2], we have $\tau_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}(K_0(C_0(\mathbb{R})\rtimes\Gamma))=\frac{1}{a}\Gamma$. But since $C_0(\mathbb{R})\rtimes\Gamma\hookrightarrow J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma$ induces a K_0-isomorphism, we deduce that $\tau_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}(K_0(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma))=\frac{1}{a}\Gamma$. Furthermore, the canonical inclusion $E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma)E\hookrightarrow J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma$ induces a K_0-isomorphism, and $\tau_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}|_{E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma)E}=\tau$. Thus $\tau(K_0(E(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma)E))=\tau_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}(K_0(J_{\Gamma^+\subseteq\Gamma}\rtimes\Gamma))=\frac{1}{a}\Gamma$. □

For $\Gamma=\Gamma_\lambda$ and $a=1\in\Gamma_\lambda$, we obtain

Corollary 3.7. *For every $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, the unique tracial state τ on F^λ satisfies $\tau(F^\lambda) = \Gamma_\lambda$.*

Remark 3.8. Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.5 have been proven in [11] by different methods. Moreover, the special case of Proposition 3.1 concerning F^λ has been observed in [5, § 2.6].

Note that Theorem 1.2 follows from Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.15, Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 3.7.

4. Second family of C*-algebras

In this section, we fix $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, and set $\Gamma := \Gamma_\lambda$, $P := P_\lambda$ and $G := G_\lambda$.

Proposition 4.1. *$G \curvearrowright O_{P\subseteq G}$ is a topologically free local boundary action (in the sense of* [12]*).*

Proof. We have already seen in Lemma 2.9 that $G \curvearrowright O_{P\subseteq G}$ is topologically free. To prove that $G \curvearrowright O_{P\subseteq G}$ is a local boundary action, we have to show (by definition) that for every non-empty open subset U of $O_{P\subseteq G}$, there exists an open subset Δ of U and $g \in G$ such that $g\overline{\Delta} \subsetneq \Delta$. Basic open sets of $O_{P\subseteq G}$ are of the form $U_{w,z} = \{\chi \in \Omega_{P\subseteq G}\colon\ \chi(1_{(w,1)P}) = 1,\ \chi(1_{(z,1)P}) = 0\}$ for $w, z \in \Gamma$, $w < z$. Thus we may assume that $U = U_{w,z}$ for some w, z. It suffices to find $x, y \in \Gamma$ with $x < y$ and $(a,\lambda^i) \in G$ such that $U_{x,y} \subseteq U_{w,z}$ and $(a,\lambda^i).U_{x,y} \subsetneq U_{x,y}$. (Note that $U_{x,y}$ is clopen.) As Γ is dense in $\mathbb{R}$, we can always find $x, y \in \Gamma$ with $w < x < y < z$. Choose $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0 < \lambda^i < 1$. Using density of Γ again, we can find $a \in \Gamma$ such that $(1-\lambda^i)x < a < (1-\lambda^i)y$. Obviously, $U_{x,y} \subseteq U_{w,z}$ as $w < x < y < z$. Moreover, we claim that $(a,\lambda^i).U_{x,y} = U_{a+\lambda^i x, a+\lambda^i y}$. Indeed, given $\chi \in \Omega_{P\subseteq G}$, $((a,\lambda^i).\chi)(1_{(v,1)P}) = \chi(1_{(\lambda^{-i}(-a+v),1)P})$. So $((a,\lambda^i).\chi)(1_{(a+\lambda^i v,1)P}) = 1$ if and only if $\chi(1_{(v,1)P}) = 1$. As $x < a + \lambda^i x < a + \lambda^i y < y$ by construction, we conclude that $(a,\lambda^i).U_{x,y} \subsetneq U_{x,y}$. □

Corollary 4.2. *For all $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ is a unital UCT Kirchberg algebra.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.15 that $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ is simple, separable, unital, nuclear and satisfies the UCT. [12, Theorem 9] and Proposition 4.1 imply that $J_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G \cong C_0(O_{P\subseteq G}) \rtimes G$ is purely infinite simple. As $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ is isomorphic to a full corner of $J_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G$, it must be purely infinite simple as well. □

We now compute K-theory for $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$.

Proposition 4.3. *The boundary maps in the six term exact sequence for $0 \to J_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G \to D_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G \to C^*(G) \to 0$ (see (8)) induce isomorphisms $K_1(C^*(G))/\mathbb{Z}[U_{(0,\lambda)}]_1 \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(J_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G)$ and $K_0(C^*(G))/\mathbb{Z}[1]_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} K_1(J_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G)$. Under the first isomorphism, $[1_P - 1_{(1,1)P}]_0$ corresponds to the class of $[U_{(1,1)}]_1 \in K_1(C^*(G))$ in the quotient $K_1(C^*(G))/\mathbb{Z}[U_{(0,\lambda)}]_1$. Moreover, $\mathbb{Z}[U_{(0,\lambda)}]_1$ and $\mathbb{Z}[1]_0$ are direct summands (isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$) in $K_1(C^*(\Gamma))$ and $K_0(C^*(\Gamma))$, respectively.*

Proof. It is easy to see that [8, Corollary 3.14] applies and yields in our situation that $C^*(\langle\lambda\rangle) \to D_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G$, $U_{\lambda^i} \mapsto U_{\lambda^i} 1_P$ induces an isomorphism $K_*(C^*(\langle\lambda\rangle)) \cong K_*(D_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G)$. Moreover, $\langle\lambda\rangle \to G$, $\lambda^i \mapsto (0, \lambda^i)$ and $G \to \langle\lambda\rangle$, $(a, \lambda^i) \mapsto \lambda^i$ induce homomorphisms $C^*(\langle\lambda\rangle) \to C^*(G)$ and $C^*(G) \to C^*(\langle\lambda\rangle)$ such that the composition $C^*(\langle\lambda\rangle) \to C^*(G) \to C^*(\langle\lambda\rangle)$ is the identity. Therefore, $C^*(\langle\lambda\rangle) \to C^*(G)$ induces a split-injective homomorphism in K-theory. With the help of these observations, it is straightforward to analyse the six term exact sequence for $0 \to J_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G \to D_{P\subseteq G} \rtimes G \to C^*(G) \to 0$ and to derive the desired conclusions. □

Remark 4.4. Of course, with a different sign convention for the index maps, $[1_P - 1_{(1,1)P}]_0$ would correspond to the class of $-[U_{(1,1)}]_1$.

Corollary 4.5. *For every $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, there is an isomorphism*

$$(K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)) \cong (K_1(C^*(G_\lambda))/\mathbb{Z}[U_{(0,\lambda)}]_1, [U_{(1,1)}]_1^\bullet, K_0(C^*(G_\lambda))/\mathbb{Z}[1]_0).$$

Note that by the Kirchberg-Phillips classification theorem [19, Chapter 8], this is a complete isomorphism invariant for $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$. Also note that Corollary 4.2 and Corollary 4.5 prove Theorem 1.3.

Let us turn to isomorphism of Cartan pairs. Recall that we write $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$ for the sub-C*-algebra $eC_0^\lambda(\mathbb{R})e$ of $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$.

Lemma 4.6. *For $\lambda, \mu \in (0, \infty)$ with $\lambda \neq 1 \neq \mu$, $(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{D}^\lambda) \cong (\mathcal{Q}^\mu, \mathcal{D}^\mu)$ implies that*

$$H_*(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z})) \cong H_*(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\mu \subseteq G_\mu}, \mathbb{Z})).$$

Here $H_*(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z}))$ is the group homology of G_λ with coefficients in $C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z})$, where $G_\lambda \curvearrowright C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z})$ is induced by $G_\lambda \curvearrowright O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}$.

Proof. We know by § 2.3 that $(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{D}^\lambda) \cong \left(C^*(G_\lambda \ltimes O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}|_{N(P_\lambda)}^{N(P_\lambda)}), C(N(P_\lambda))\right)$, and similarly for $(\mathcal{Q}^\mu, \mathcal{D}^\mu)$. Hence, by [17, Proposition 4.13], we have $(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{D}^\lambda) \cong (\mathcal{Q}^\mu, \mathcal{D}^\mu)$ if and only if $G_\lambda \ltimes O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}|_{N(P_\lambda)}^{N(P_\lambda)} \cong G_\mu \ltimes O_{P_\mu \subseteq G_\mu}|_{N(P_\mu)}^{N(P_\mu)}$ as topological groupoids. The latter statement implies that the groupoid homologies (in the sense of [15, § 3.1]) must be isomorphic, i.e., $H_*(G_\lambda \ltimes O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}|_{N(P_\lambda)}^{N(P_\lambda)}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(G_\mu \ltimes O_{P_\mu \subseteq G_\mu}|_{N(P_\mu)}^{N(P_\mu)}, \mathbb{Z})$. But [15, Theorem 3.6 (2)] implies that $H_*(G_\lambda \ltimes O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}|_{N(P_\lambda)}^{N(P_\lambda)}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(G_\lambda \ltimes O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z}))$, and similarly, $H_*(G_\mu \ltimes O_{P_\mu \subseteq G_\mu}|_{N(P_\mu)}^{N(P_\mu)}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(G_\mu, C_c(O_{P_\mu \subseteq G_\mu}, \mathbb{Z}))$. □

Note that a much more obvious invariant of $(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{D}^\lambda)$ (apart from the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$) is the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$. However, all the $\mathcal{D}^\lambda$ are mutually isomorphic for $1 \neq \lambda \in (0, \infty)$ since $\mathcal{D}^\lambda \cong C(N(P_\lambda))$, and $N(P_\lambda)$ is homeomorphic to the Cantor space for all $1 \neq \lambda \in (0, \infty)$.

5. Kirchberg algebras parametrised by algebraic integers

Our goal is to compute $(K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda))$ more precisely for algebraic integers λ. At the same time, we compute $H_*(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z}))$ so that it is easy to compare with K-theory.

5.1. General computations.

We need the following

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(G,+)$ be an abelian group, $\varphi : G \to G$ an endomorphism. Let $G_\infty := \varinjlim \{G, \varphi\}$ be the inductive limit of $G \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \xrightarrow{\varphi} \dots$. Let $\varphi_\infty : G_\infty \to G_\infty$ be the map induced by φ, given by the following morphism of inductive systems*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \dots \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \\ G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \dots \end{array}$$

Let $\iota : G \to G_\infty$ be the homomorphism of the first copy of G to G_∞ (which is part of the structure of the inductive limit). Then ι induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{id} - \varphi) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{id} - \varphi_\infty)$ and $\operatorname{Coker}(\mathrm{id} - \varphi) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Coker}(\mathrm{id} - \varphi_\infty)$.

Proof. We work with the following concrete model for G_∞: We write $[g_n]_n$ for the class of $(g_n)_n \in \prod_{n=1}^\infty G$ in the quotient $\prod_{n=1}^\infty G / \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty G$. Let G_∞ be the subgroup of the quotient group $\prod_{n=1}^\infty G / \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty G$ generated by all elements $[g_n]_n$ for which there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_{m+1} = \varphi(g_m)$ for all $m \geq M$. Together with the homomorphisms $\iota_n : G \to G_\infty$, $g \mapsto [\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1}, g, \varphi(g), \varphi^2(g), \dots]$, G_∞ is the inductive limit of $G \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \xrightarrow{\varphi} \dots$. Moreover, $\varphi_\infty[g_1, g_2, g_3, \dots] = [\varphi(g_1), \varphi(g_2), \varphi(g_3), \dots] = [g_2, g_3, g_4, \dots]$.

Let us first show that $\iota := \iota_1$ induces an isomorphism $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{id} - \varphi) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{id} - \varphi_\infty)$. Take $g \in \operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{id} - \varphi)$. Then $g = \varphi(g)$, so $\iota(g) = [g, g, g, \dots] \in \operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{id} - \varphi_\infty)$. If $\iota(g) = 0$, then $(g, g, g, \dots)$ lies in $\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty G$, and hence g must be 0. Therefore, $\iota|_{Ker(\mathrm{id}-\varphi)}$ is injective. Now take $[g_n]_n \in \operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{id} - \varphi_\infty)$. Then $[g_1, g_2, \dots] = \varphi_\infty[g_1, g_2, \dots] = [g_2, g_3, \dots]$. Therefore, there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g_m = g_{m+1}$ and $g_{m+1} = \varphi(g_m)$ for all $m \geq M$. This means that $g := g_M$ lies in $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathrm{id} - \varphi)$, and $[g_n]_n = [g, g, \dots] = \iota(g)$. Thus $\iota|_{Ker(\mathrm{id}-\varphi)}$ is surjective.

Secondly, ι induces a homomorphism $\iota^\bullet : \operatorname{Coker}(\mathrm{id} - \varphi) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker}(\mathrm{id} - \varphi_\infty)$. The reason is that if $g = h - \varphi(h)$ for some $h \in G$, then $\iota(g) = [g, \varphi(g), \dots] = [h - \varphi(h), \varphi(h) - \varphi^2(h), \dots] = [h, \varphi(h), \dots] - [\varphi(h), \varphi^2(h), \dots] = (\mathrm{id} - \varphi_\infty)(\iota(h))$. To see that $\iota^\bullet$ is injective, assume that $\iota(g) = [g, \varphi(g), \dots]$ is of the form $(\mathrm{id} - \varphi_\infty)[g_1, g_2, \dots] = [g_1, g_2, \dots] - [g_2, g_3, \dots] = [g_1 - g_2, g_2 - g_3, \dots]$. Then there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi^m(g) = g_m - g_{m+1}$ and $g_{m+1} = \varphi(g_m)$ for all $m \geq M$. Then $g = g - \varphi^M(g) + \varphi^M(g) = (\mathrm{id} - \varphi)(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \varphi^m(g)) + g_M - \varphi(g_M) \in (\mathrm{id} - \varphi)(G)$. Let us show that $\iota^\bullet$ is surjective. For $[g_n]_n \in G_\infty$, there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ with $g_{m+1} = \varphi(g_m)$ for all $m \geq M$. Then we have modulo $(\mathrm{id} - \varphi_\infty)(G_\infty)$: $[g_n]_n = [g_n]_n - (\mathrm{id} - \varphi_\infty)(\sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \varphi_\infty^m [g_n]_n) = [g_n]_n - (\mathrm{id} - \varphi_\infty^M)[g_n]_n = \varphi_\infty^M [g_n]_n = [g_M, \varphi(g_M), \varphi^2(g_M), \dots] = \iota(g_M)$. ∎

We now apply this lemma. Let λ be an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial of degree d. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[\lambda] \to \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ be the homomorphism given by multiplication with λ. Here $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ is the subring of $\mathbb{R}$ generated by 1 and λ. Moreover, let $\Lambda^k\varphi : \Lambda^k\mathbb{Z}[\lambda] \to \Lambda^k\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ be the induced homomorphism on the k-th exterior power.

Lemma 5.2. *There is an isomorphism* $K_0(C^*(G_\lambda)) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^{2j}\varphi) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^{2j+1}\varphi)$ *such that* $\mathbb{Z}[1]_0$ *is identified with* $\mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^0\varphi) = \Lambda^0\mathbb{Z}[\lambda] \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

We also have an isomorphism $K_1(C^*(G_\lambda)) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^{2j+1}\varphi) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^{2j}\varphi)$ *sending* $\mathbb{Z}[U_{(0,\lambda)}]_1$ *to* $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^0\varphi) = \Lambda^0\mathbb{Z}[\lambda] \cong \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $[U_{(1,1)}]_1$ *to* $[1] = [\lambda^0] \in \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^1\varphi) = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]/(1-\lambda)\mathbb{Z}[\lambda] \cong \Gamma_\lambda/(1-\lambda)\Gamma_\lambda$.

Proof. Obviously, we can identify $\Gamma_\lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\lambda, \lambda^{-1}]$ with $\varinjlim \{\mathbb{Z}[\lambda], \varphi\}$ such that φ_∞ corresponds to the automorphism of Γ_λ given by multiplication with λ. Therefore, we obtain $K_*(C^*(\Gamma_\lambda)) \cong \varinjlim \{K_*(C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\lambda])), \varphi_*\}$, and $(\varphi_\infty)_*$ corresponds to $(\varphi_*)_\infty$. The Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence for $C^*(G_\lambda) \cong (C^*(\Gamma_\lambda) \rtimes \langle\lambda\rangle$ gives rise to short exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - K_0(\varphi_\infty)) \to K_0(C^*(G_\lambda)) \to \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - K_1(\varphi_\infty)) \to 0,$$
$$0 \to \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - K_1(\varphi_\infty)) \to K_1(C^*(G_\lambda)) \to \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - K_0(\varphi_\infty)) \to 0.$$

Lemma 5.1 implies that $\mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - K_i(\varphi_\infty)) \cong \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - K_i(\varphi))$ and $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - K_i(\varphi_\infty)) \cong \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - K_i(\varphi))$ for all $i = 0, 1$. We know that $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda] = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d-1} \mathbb{Z}\lambda^i \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$, so that $K_i(C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\lambda])) \cong K_i(C^*(\mathbb{Z}^d)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2^{d-1}}$ is a free abelian group. Thus $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - K_i(\varphi))$ is free abelian as well, and

$$K_i(C^*(G_\lambda)) \cong \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - K_i(\varphi)) \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - K_{i+1}(\varphi))$$

for all $i = 0, 1$. Moreover, we have natural isomorphisms $K_i(C^*(\mathbb{Z}[\lambda])) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^{2j+i}\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ sending $\mathbb{Z}[1]_0$ to $\Lambda^0\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ and $[U_1]_1$ to $1 \in \Lambda^1\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$. Naturality means that under these isomorphisms, $K_i(\varphi)$ corresponds to $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^{2j+i}\varphi$. Finally, an easy computation shows that the index map in the Pimsner-Voiculescu exact sequence for $C^*(G_\lambda) \cong C^*(\Gamma_\lambda) \rtimes \langle\lambda\rangle$ sends $\mathbb{Z}[U_{(0,\lambda)}]_1 \in K_1(C^*(G_\lambda))$ to $\mathbb{Z}[1]_0 \in K_0(C^*(\Gamma_\lambda))$. □

Corollary 5.3. *For every algebraic integer* $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}(K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)) \;\cong\; \Bigg(& \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^{2j+1}\varphi) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^{2j}\varphi), \\ & [1] \in \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^1\varphi) \cong \Gamma_\lambda/(1-\lambda)\Gamma_\lambda, \\ & \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^{2j}\varphi) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^{2j+1}\varphi) \Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 4.5 and Lemma 5.2. □

Proposition 5.4. *If* $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ *is an algebraic integer, then* $H_{k+1}(G_\lambda) \cong \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^{k+1}\varphi) \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^k\varphi)$ *for all* $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

Proof. The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence [4, Chapter VII, Theorem (6.3)] gives rise to short exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - H_{k+1}(\varphi_\infty)) \to H_{k+1}(G_\lambda) \to \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - H_k(\varphi_\infty)) \to 0. \tag{14}$$

As group homology is continuous (see [3, Theorem 5.5]), an application of Lemma 5.1 as in our K-theoretic computation yields $\mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - H_{k+1}(\varphi_\infty)) \cong \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - H_{k+1}(\varphi)) \cong \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^{k+1}\varphi)$ and $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - H_k(\varphi_\infty)) \cong \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - H_k(\varphi)) \cong \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^k\varphi)$. As $\Lambda^k\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ is free abelian, so is $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^k\varphi)$. Thus (14) splits. Our proposition follows. □

Note that Corollary 5.3 and Proposition 5.4 imply Theorem 1.4.

Motivated by Lemma 4.6, we also compute $H_*(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z}))$.

Proposition 5.5. *For all $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z})) &\cong \Gamma_\lambda/(1-\lambda)\Gamma_\lambda \\ H_k(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z})) &\cong H_{k+1}(G_\lambda) \qquad \textit{for all } k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We fix $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and write Γ for Γ_λ, P for P_λ, G for G_λ, Ω for $\Omega_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}$ and O for $O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}$. Since $\Omega = O \cup \{\chi_\infty\}$,

$$0 \to C_c(O, \mathbb{Z}) \to C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0 \tag{15}$$

is an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}G$-modules. It is easy to see that $\left\{1_{(x,1)P} \colon x \in \Gamma\right\}$ forms a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})$ on which Γ acts freely transitively. Hence $C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ as $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-modules. Thus

$$H_q(\Gamma, C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \textit{if } q = 0, \\ \{0\} & \textit{if } q \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence (see [4, Chapter VII, Theorem (6.3)]) then yields

$$H_i(G, C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})) \cong H_i(\langle\lambda\rangle) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \textit{if } i = 0, 1, \\ \{0\} & \textit{if } i \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we know that $H_0(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $H_1(G) \cong H_0(\langle\lambda\rangle, H_1(\Gamma)) \oplus H_1(\langle\lambda\rangle) \cong \Gamma/(1-\lambda)\Gamma \oplus \langle\lambda\rangle \cong \Gamma/(1-\lambda)\Gamma \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Under these isomorphisms, $H_1(G, C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})) \to H_1(G)$ is given by $\mathbb{Z} \to \Gamma/(1-\lambda)\Gamma \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, $z \mapsto (0, z)$. Plugging this into the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \ldots &\to H_1(G, C_c(O, \mathbb{Z})) \to H_1(G, C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})) \to H_1(G) \\ &\to H_0(G, C_c(O, \mathbb{Z})) \to H_0(G, C_c(\Omega, \mathbb{Z})) \to H_0(G) \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

attached to the short exact sequence (15), we arrive at the desired result. □

Note that Proposition 5.5 and Lemma 4.6 prove Theorem 1.5.

Combining Proposition 5.5 and Proposition 5.4, we obtain

Corollary 5.6. *If $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$ is an algebraic integer, then*

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z})) &\cong \Gamma_\lambda/(1-\lambda)\Gamma_\lambda \\ H_k(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z})) &\cong \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^{k+1}\varphi) \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^k \varphi) \quad \textit{for all } k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

A comparison with Corollary 5.3 yields

Corollary 5.7. *Let $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$ be an algebraic integer with $\lambda \neq 1$. Then*

$$K_i(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} H_{2j+i}(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z})) \quad \textit{for } i = 0, 1.$$

In other words, for the groupoid $\mathcal{G} = G_\lambda \ltimes O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}$, we obtain $K_i(C_r^*(\mathcal{G})) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} H_{2j+i}(\mathcal{G})$, as in [15, § 3.1].

Remark 5.8. A similar, but much simpler computation gives for every subgroup Γ of $\mathbb{R}$ that $H_k(\Gamma, C_c(O_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma}, \mathbb{Z})) \cong H_{k+1}(\Gamma)$, and $K_i(E(J_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma} \rtimes \Gamma)E) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} H_{2j+i}(\Gamma, C_c(O_{\Gamma^+ \subseteq \Gamma}, \mathbb{Z}))$ for $i = 0, 1$. In particular, for all $\lambda \in (0,\infty)$, $\lambda \neq 1$, we get

$$K_i(F^\lambda) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} H_{2j+i}(\Gamma_\lambda, C_c(O_{\Gamma_\lambda^+ \subseteq \Gamma_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z})) \quad \textit{for } i = 0, 1.$$

5.2. **Concrete computations.** Let $1 \neq \lambda \in (0,\infty)$ be an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial $f(T) = T^d + a_{d-1}T^{d-1} + \ldots + a_1 T + a_0$. Note that irreducibility of f poses conditions on $a_{d-1}, \ldots, a_0$, for instance $a_0 \neq 0$ and $f(1) = 1 + a_{d-1} + \ldots + a_0 \neq 0$ ($\lambda \neq 1$). Because of Corollary 5.3 and Corollary 5.6, all we have to do is to determine kernel and cokernel of $\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^k\varphi$. With respect to the canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $1, \lambda, \ldots, \lambda^{d-1}$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$, φ is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \ldots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -a_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that $\Lambda^k\varphi$ is given by the matrix of k-minors. So we form the difference between the identity matrix and the matrix of k-minors, and compute kernel and cokernel by determining the Smith normal form. All this is straightforward. In the following, we omit the details in our computations and present the final results.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $1 \neq \lambda \in (0,\infty)$ be an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial $f(T) = T^d + a_{d-1}T^{d-1} + \ldots + a_1 T + a_0$, and let $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[\lambda] \to \mathbb{Z}[\lambda]$ be the homomorphism given by multiplication with λ.*

We have $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^1\varphi) = \{0\}$ *and* $(\mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^1\varphi), 1) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/f(1)\mathbb{Z}, 1)$.

For all $d \geq 1$, $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^{d-1}\varphi) \cong \{0\}$, *and* $\mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id} - \Lambda^{d-1}\varphi) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\frac{f((-1)^d a_0)}{a_0}\mathbb{Z}$. *Note that $a_0 \neq 0$ and that $f((-1)^d a_0) \neq 0$ since f is irreducible.*

Also, for all $d \geq 1$, we have

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathrm{id}-\Lambda^d\varphi) \cong \begin{cases} \{0\} & if\ 1+(-1)^{d+1}a_0 \neq 0, \\ \mathbb{Z} & if\ 1+(-1)^{d+1}a_0 = 0, \end{cases} \quad and\ \mathrm{Coker}\,(\mathrm{id}-\Lambda^{d-1}\varphi) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(1+(-1)^{d+1}a_0)\mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that we write 1 for the class of 1 in the corresponding quotient.

We may now combine Corollary 5.3, Corollary 5.6 and Lemma 5.9. Here are some concrete examples:

	$H_k(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z}))$		$(K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda))$
$d=1$	$\mathbb{Z}/(1+a_0)\mathbb{Z}$	$k=0$	$(\mathbb{Z}/(1+a_0)\mathbb{Z}, 1, \{0\})$
	$\{0\}$	$k \geq 1$	
$d=2, a_0=1$	$\mathbb{Z}/(2+a_1)\mathbb{Z}$	$k=0$	$(\mathbb{Z}/(2+a_1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, (1,0), \mathbb{Z})$
	$\mathbb{Z}$	$k=1,2$	
	$\{0\}$	$k \geq 3$	
$d=2, a_0 \neq 1$	$\mathbb{Z}/(1+a_1+a_0)\mathbb{Z}$	$k=0$	$(\mathbb{Z}/(1+a_1+a_0)\mathbb{Z}, 1, \mathbb{Z}/(1-a_0)\mathbb{Z})$
	$\mathbb{Z}/(1-a_0)\mathbb{Z}$	$k=1$	
	$\{0\}$	$k \geq 2$	
$d=3, a_0=-1$	$\mathbb{Z}/(a_2+a_1)\mathbb{Z}$	$k=0$	$(\mathbb{Z}/(a_2+a_1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, (1,0), \mathbb{Z}/(a_2+a_1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})$
	$\mathbb{Z}/(a_2+a_1)\mathbb{Z}$	$k=1$	
	$\mathbb{Z}$	$k=2,3$	
	$\{0\}$	$k \geq 4$	
$d=3,\ a_0 \neq -1$	$\mathbb{Z}/(1+a_2+a_1+a_0)\mathbb{Z}$	$k=0$	$(\mathbb{Z}/(1+a_2+a_1+a_0)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(1+a_0)\mathbb{Z}, (1,0),$ $\mathbb{Z}/(-a_0^2+a_0a_2-a_1+1)\mathbb{Z})$
	$\mathbb{Z}/(-a_0^2+a_0a_2-a_1+1)\mathbb{Z}$	$k=1$	
	$\mathbb{Z}/(1+a_0)\mathbb{Z}$	$k=2$	
	$\{0\}$	$k \geq 3$	

Note that $\lambda = -a_0$ for $d=1$, so that $a_0 \neq -1$.

Here is an explicit example: Let $\lambda = \sqrt{n}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is not a square, so that the minimal polynomial of λ is $f(T) = T^2 - n$. Looking at the case $d=2$, $a_0 = -n \neq 1$, we obtain ($a_1 = 0$):

$$H_k(G_{\sqrt{n}}, C_c(O_{P_{\sqrt{n}} \subseteq G_{\sqrt{n}}}, \mathbb{Z})) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/(1-n)\mathbb{Z} & k=0, \\ \mathbb{Z}/(1+n)\mathbb{Z} & k=1, \\ \{0\} & k \geq 2; \end{cases}$$

$$(K_0(\mathcal{Q}^{\sqrt{n}}), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^{\sqrt{n}})) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(1-n)\mathbb{Z}, 1, \mathbb{Z}/(1+n)\mathbb{Z}).$$

Comparing this with the example in [5, § 2.4], we see that the computation of $K_1(\mathcal{Q}^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}) \cong K_1(\mathcal{Q}^{\sqrt{n}})$ in [5] is wrong due to a mistake in the computation of the homomorphism $\Lambda^2\varphi$.

Let us now comment on the examples appearing in [5, Proposition 2.22 (2)]: If the minimal polynomial of $0 < \lambda < 1$ is $f(T) = T^3 + (n+1)T^2 + nT + 1$ for $n \leq -2$, then looking at our

computation for $d = 3$, $a_0 \neq -1$, we obtain

$$(K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(2n+3)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, (1,0), \{0\}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(4n+6)\mathbb{Z}, 2, \{0\}).$$

If the minimal polynomial of $0 < \lambda < 1$ is $f(T) = T^3 + (n-1)T^2 + nT + 1$ for $n \leq -1$, then looking at our computation for $d = 3$, $a_0 \neq -1$, we obtain

$$(K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(2n+1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, (1,0), \{0\}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(4n+2)\mathbb{Z}, 2, \{0\}).$$

So in either case, we see that $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ is only stably isomorphic to a Cuntz algebra (of the form $\mathcal{O}_{4k+3}$, $k \geq 0$), but not isomorphic as $[1]_0$ does not generate $K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)$.

Moreover, in the examples in [5, Proposition 2.22 (2)], both λ and λ^{-1} are algebraic integers. We now show that if we just require λ to be an algebraic integer, then all Cuntz algebras appear. Namely, for every $n = 2, 3, \ldots$, we can find a quadratic integer λ such that $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda \cong \mathcal{O}_n$ (as unital C*-algebras, not only up to stabilisation). To see this, take $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ and set $a := -2 - n$. Let $f(T) := T^2 + aT + 2$, and let $\lambda := -\frac{a}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{4} - 2}$ be a root of f. Obviously, $\lambda > 0$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, so that f is the minimal polynomial of λ. From our computations for $d = 2$, $a_0 \neq 1$, we obtain (for $a_1 = a$, $a_0 = 2$):

$$(K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(1-n)\mathbb{Z}, 1, \{0\}) \cong (K_0(\mathcal{O}_n), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{O}_n)).$$

Now we come to our example of λ, μ such that $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda \cong \mathcal{Q}^\mu$ but $(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{D}^\lambda) \ncong (\mathcal{Q}^\mu, \mathcal{D}^\mu)$. Let $f(T) = T^2 - 3T + 1$. Since $f(0) = 1$, $f(1) = -1$, there exists $0 < \lambda < 1$ with $f(\lambda) = 0$. f is irreducible by Gauss' Lemma since $f(1) \neq 0 \neq f(-1)$. Our computation for $d = 2$, $a_0 = 1$ gives in this case ($a_1 = -3$):

$$H_k(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z})) \cong \begin{cases} \{0\} & k = 0 \text{ or } k \geq 3 \\ \mathbb{Z} & k = 1, 2; \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad (K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}, 0, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Choose $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ arbitrary and let $g(T) = T^3 + aT^2 + (1-a)T - 1$. Since $g(0) = -1$, $g(1) = 1$, there exists $0 < \mu < 1$ with $g(\mu) = 0$. g is irreducible by Gauss' Lemma since g does not vanish in -1 or 1. Our computation for $d = 3$, $a_0 = -1$ gives in this case ($a_2 = a$, $a_1 = 1 - a$):

$$H_k(G_\mu, C_c(O_{P_\mu \subseteq G_\mu}, \mathbb{Z})) \cong \begin{cases} \{0\} & k = 0, 1, \text{ or } k \geq 4 \\ \mathbb{Z} & k = 2, 3; \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad (K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\mu), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\mu)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}, 0, \mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular, we could take $a = 1$, and $g(T) = T^3 + T^2 - 1$. So indeed, by the Kirchberg-Phillips classification theorem [19, Chapter 8] and Lemma 4.6, we have found λ, μ such that $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda \cong \mathcal{Q}^\mu$ but $(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{D}^\lambda) \ncong (\mathcal{Q}^\mu, \mathcal{D}^\mu)$.

Finally, we remark that for every algebraic integer λ with $1 \neq \lambda \in (0, \infty)$, $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda \cong \mathcal{O}_n$ implies that

$$H_k(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z})) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/(n-1)\mathbb{Z} & k = 0, \\ \{0\} & k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

In other words, group homology does not allow us to show that $(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda, \mathcal{D}^\lambda) \ncong (\mathcal{O}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)$ (if this is the case at all). Here $\mathcal{D}_n$ is the canonical Cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_n$.

6. Open questions

Our first remark is that for the groupoid $\mathcal{G} = G_\lambda \ltimes O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}$, our computation shows that $K_i(C_r^*(\mathcal{G})) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^\infty H_{2j+i}(\mathcal{G})$ for $i = 0, 1$, at least for algebraic integers λ. Such an isomorphism holds for other types of groupoids as well (see [15, § 3.1]). So a natural question would be how general this phenomenon is, and whether there is a conceptual explanation.

Secondly, it was observed in [5] that for algebraic numbers λ, we must have $\mathrm{rk}\,(K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)) = \mathrm{rk}\,(K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda))$. Is this the only restriction? In other words, given a UCT Kirchberg algebra A with finitely generated K-groups and $\mathrm{rk}\,(K_0(A)) = \mathrm{rk}\,(K_1(A))$, can we always find an algebraic number λ such that $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda \cong A$? Note that in order to have $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda \cong A$ for an algebraic integer λ, we at least have to require that $[1]_0$ generates a direct summand in $K_0(A)$.

Finally, we mention that for transcendental $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, we obtain $H_k(G_\lambda, C_c(O_{P_\lambda \subseteq G_\lambda}, \mathbb{Z})) \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty \mathbb{Z}$ for all $k = 0, 1, \ldots$, and $(K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)) \cong (\bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty \mathbb{Z}, e_0, \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty \mathbb{Z})$, where $e_0 = (1, 0, 0, \ldots) \in \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty \mathbb{Z}$. This K-theory computation, regardless of the position of $[1]_0$, has been carried out in [5, Proposition 2.24]. Again, we see that for transcendental λ, $\mathcal{Q}^\lambda$ is stably isomorphic, but not isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_\mathbb{N}$, since $(K_0(\mathcal{Q}_\mathbb{N}), [1]_0, K_1(\mathcal{Q}_\mathbb{N})) \cong (\bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty \mathbb{Z}, 0, \bigoplus_{i=0}^\infty \mathbb{Z})$. Having studied the case of algebraic integers and transcendental numbers, it remains to study the case of algebraic λ which are not algebraic integers. For instance, a concrete question would be: We have shown that for an algebraic number λ with minimal polynomial $f(T) = T^d + a_{d-1}T^{d-1} + \ldots + a_0$, where $a_{d-1}, \ldots, a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ (so that λ is actually an algebraic integer), $[1]_0 \in K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)$ is a torsion element with torsion order $|f(1)| = |1 + a_{d-1} + \ldots + a_0|$. In general, given an algebraic number λ with minimal polynomial $f(T) = a_d T^d + a_{d-1}T^{d-1} + \ldots + a_0$, where $a_d, \ldots, a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, is $[1]_0 \in K_0(\mathcal{Q}^\lambda)$ again a torsion element with torsion order $|f(1)|$? Note that our methods immediately show that this is true for $d = 1$ (see also [5, Proposition 2.17]). One might also wonder how the generalisation of Lemma 5.9 looks like when we go from algebraic integers to arbitrary algebraic numbers.

References

[1] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche and J. Renault, *Amenable groupoids*, Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique, Vol. 36, L'Enseignement Mathématique, Genève, 2000.

[2] R. J. Archbold and J. S. Spielberg, *Topologically free actions and ideals in discrete C*-dynamical systems*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. *37* (1993), 119–124.

[3] F. R. Beyl, *Abelian groups with vanishing homology group*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra *7* (1976), 175–193.

[4] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics *87*, Springer, 1982.

[5] A. F. Carey, J. Phillips, I. F. Putnam and A. Rennie, *Families of type III KMS states on a class of C*-algebras containing $\mathcal{O}_n$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\mathbb{N}$*, J. Funct. Anal. *260* (2011), 1637–1681.

[6] F. Combes and H. Zettl, *Order structures, traces and weights on Morita equivalent C*-algebras*, Math. Ann. *265* (1983), 67–81.

[7] J. Cuntz, S. Echterhoff and X. Li, *On the K-theory of the C*-algebra generated by the left regular representation of an Ore semigroup*, arXiv:1201.4680v2, accepted for publication in J. Eur. Math. Soc.

[8] J. Cuntz, S. Echterhoff and X. Li, *On the K-theory of crossed products by automorphic semigroup actions*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics *64* (2013), 747–784.

[9] R. Exel, *Amenability for Fell bundles*, J. Reine Angew. Math. *492* (1997), 41–73.
[10] R. Exel, M. Laca and J. Quigg, *Partial dynamical systems and C*-algebras generated by partial isometries*, J. Op. Th. *47* (2002), 169–186.
[11] R. Ji and J. Xia, *On the classification of commutator ideals*, J. Funct. Anal. *78* (1988), 208–232.
[12] M. Laca and J. S. Spielberg, *Purely infinite C*-algebras from boundary actions of discrete groups*, J. reine angewandte Math. *480* (1996), 125–139.
[13] X. Li, *Semigroup C*-algebras and amenability of semigroups*, J. Functional Analysis *262* (2012), 4302–4340.
[14] X. Li, *Nuclearity of semigroup C*-algebras and the connection to amenability*, Advances in Math. *244* (2013), 626–662.
[15] H. Matui, *Homology and topological full groups of étale groupoids on totally disconnected spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. *104* (2012), 27–56.
[16] G. J. Murphy, *Ordered groups and Toeplitz algebras*, J. Op. Th. *18* (1987), 303–326.
[17] J. Renault, *Cartan subalgebras in C*-algebras*, Irish Math. Soc. Bulletin *61* (2008), 29–63.
[18] J. Renault, *C*-algebras and Dynamical Systems*, Publicacoes matematicas, IMPA, 2009.
[19] M. Rørdam, *Classification of Nuclear C^*-Algebras* in Classification of Nuclear C^*-Algebras. Entropy in Operator Algebras, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 126, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2002.
[20] J.-L. Tu, *La conjecture de Baum-Connes pour les feuilletages moyennables*, K-Theory *17* (1999), 215–264.

Xin Li, School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK

E-mail address: xin.li@qmul.ac.uk